

ASSURANCE STATEMENT

SGS TAIWAN LTD. 'S REPORT ON SUSTAINABILITY ACTIVITIES IN THE UNIMICRON TECHNOLOGY CORP.'s CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY REPORT FOR 2019

NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE ASSURANCE/VERIFICATION

SGS Taiwan Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as SGS) was commissioned by Unimicron Technology Corp. (hereinafter referred to as UNIMICRON) to conduct an independent assurance of the Corporate Social Responsibility Report for 2019 (hereinafter referred to as CSR Report). The scope of the assurance, based on the SGS Sustainability Report Assurance methodology, included the sampled text, and data in accompanying tables, contained in the report presented during on-site verification (2020/05/06~2020/05/15). SGS reserves the right to update the assurance statement from time to time depending on the level of report content discrepancy of the published version from the agreed standards requirements.

The information in the UNIMICRON's CSR Report of 2019 and its presentation are the responsibility of the management of UNIMICRON. SGS has not been involved in the preparation of any of the material included in UNIMICRON's CSR Report of 2019.

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the text, data, graphs and statements within the scope of verification with the intention to inform all UNIMICRON's stakeholders.

The SGS protocols are based upon internationally recognized guidance, including the Principles contained within the Global Reporting Initiative Sustainability Reporting Standards (GRI Standards) 101: Foundation 2016 for accuracy and reliability and the guidance on levels of assurance contained within the AA1000 series of standards and guidance for Assurance Providers.

This report has been assured using our protocols for:

- evaluation of content veracity of the sustainability performance information based on the materiality determination at a high level of scrutiny for UNIMICRON and moderate level of scrutiny for subsidiaries, joint ventures, and applicable aspect boundaries outside of the organization covered by this report;
- AA1000 Assurance Standard (2008) Type 2 evaluation of the report content and supporting management systems against the AA1000 Accountability Principles (2008); and
- evaluation of the report against the requirements of Global Reporting Initiative Sustainability Reporting Standards (100, 200, 300 and 400 series) claimed in the GRI content index as material and in accordance with.

The assurance comprised a combination of pre-assurance research, interviews with relevant employees, superintendents, CSR committee members and the senior management in Taiwan; documentation and record review and validation with external bodies and/or stakeholders where relevant.

Financial data drawn directly from independently audited financial accounts, greenhouse gas emissions and sustainability impact (assessed by Impact Pathway Approach) have not been checked back to source as part of this assurance process.

STATEMENT OF INDEPENDENCE AND COMPETENCE

The SGS Group of companies is the world leader in inspection, testing and verification, operating in more than 140 countries and providing services including management systems and service certification; quality, environmental, social and ethical auditing and training; environmental, social and sustainability report assurance. SGS affirm our independence from UNIMICRON, being free from bias and conflicts of interest with the organisation, its subsidiaries and stakeholders.

The assurance team was assembled based on their knowledge, experience and qualifications for this assignment, and comprised auditors registered with ISO 26000, ISO 20121, ISO 50001, SA8000, RBA, QMS, EMS, SMS, GPMS, CFP, WFP, GHG Verification and GHG Validation Lead Auditors and experience on the SRA Assurance service provisions.

VERIFICATION/ ASSURANCE OPINION

On the basis of the methodology described and the verification work performed, we are satisfied that the information and data contained within UNIMICRON's CSR Report of 2019 verified is accurate, reliable and provides a fair and balanced representation of UNIMICRON sustainability activities in 01/01/2019 to 12/31/2019.

The assurance team is of the opinion that the Report can be used by the Reporting Organisation's Stakeholders. We believe that the organisation has chosen an appropriate level of assurance for this stage in their reporting. In our opinion, the contents of the report meet the requirements of GRI Standards in accordance with Core Option and AA1000 Assurance Standard (2008) Type 2, High level assurance.

AA1000 ACCOUNTABILITY PRINCIPLES (2008) CONCLUSIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Inclusivity

UNIMICRON has demonstrated a good commitment to stakeholder inclusivity and stakeholder engagement. A variety of engagement efforts such as survey and communication to employees, customers, investors, suppliers, CSR experts, and other stakeholders are implemented to underpin the organization's understanding of stakeholder concerns.

Materiality

UNIMICRON has established effective processes for determining issues that are material to the business. Formal review has identified stakeholders and those issues that are material to each group and the report addresses these at an appropriate level to reflect their importance and priority to these stakeholders.

Responsiveness

The report includes coverage given to stakeholder engagement and channels for stakeholder feedback.

GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE REPORTING STANDARDS CONCLUSIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The report, UNIMICRON's CSR Report of 2019, is adequately in line with the GRI Standards in accordance with Core Option. The material topics and their boundaries within and outside of the organization are properly defined in accordance with GRI's Reporting Principles for Defining Report Content. Disclosures of identified material topics and boundaries, and stakeholder engagement, GRI 102-40 to GRI 102-47, are correctly located in content index and report. For GRI 404-1, it is highly proposed to use total number of employees in category as denominator to calculate rate of new employee hires and employee turnover by age or gender. This calculation method could detect uneven pattern incompatibility or potential inequity in the workplace, which is more in line with the reporting purpose. For GRI 307-1 and 419-1, it is recommended to re-evaluate the suitability and adequacy of current significant fines threshold.

Signed:

For and on behalf of SGS Taiwan Ltd.

David Huang Senior Director

Taipei, Taiwan 29 May, 2020

WWW.SGS.COM

